

**College of Human Ecology  
Doctoral Dissertation Research Award Rubric**

**Name of Student:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of Dissertation Adviser:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Department/School:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Has the student passed candidacy?** \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No      **Has the student defended his/her dissertation proposal?** \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No

	<b>Exceptional 5</b>	<b>Good 4</b>	<b>Average 3</b>	<b>Needs Improvement 2</b>	<b>Poor 1</b>
Cover Page	The cover page is complete.				The cover page is omitted or lacking important information.
Abstract	The abstract clearly summarizes the project		The summary is adequate though the need for greater clarity is apparent.		It is unclear what is being proposed.
Project Description	The description, including the methodology, is clear, concise, and easy to understand.		The description, including the methodology, is adequate though the need for greater clarity is apparent.		It is unclear what is being proposed.
Importance to the Field	The proposed project clearly describes the importance of the field.		A link is made between the proposed project and the broader field. The impact is modest.		It is not clear how the proposed project will further the field.

Budget	Budget is comprehensive, clearly explained, and appropriate for the activities proposed. All costs are justified and describe how funds will improve dissertation.		Budget and justification are adequate though the need for greater clarity is apparent.		Budget and justification are either omitted or vaguely described.
Letter of Recommendation	Letter of recommendation is exceptional				Letter of recommendation is poor

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Overall, would you recommend this proposal for funding?** \_\_\_\_\_Yes \_\_\_\_\_No

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_